

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND
TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re the Application of: Robert Longman)	I hereby certify that this correspondence is
Serial No.: 10/716,909)	being filed in the USPTO via the EFS-web
Filed: 11/19/2003)	system on May 30, 2007.
Docket No.: 07-112)	
Examiner: Amee A. Shah)	/Lawrence S. Cohen/
Art Unit: 3625)	_____ Lawrence S. Cohen
)	
)	
)	
)	

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION, DATED 04/30/2007

This is a response to the Office Action dated April 30, 2007. If any extension of time is required for this response, such extension of time is requested. If any fee is required for this response, such fee may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-1054.

In the original Requirement for Restriction, the Examiner distinguished the claims as method and apparatus. Applicant deleted the apparatus claims and added additional method claims. However, the election incorrectly elected the deleted claims 5-10.

The Examiner provided another Office Action which pointed out the error. This responds to that Office Action.

It was intended and is now requested that the method claims be elected, which are claims 1-4 and 11-27, all of which are method claims.

A listing of claims is attached, which listing is a duplicate of the previously submitted listing.

Law Offices of Lawrence S. Cohen
10960 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1220
Los Angeles, CA 90024
Telephone: 310-231-6898
Facsimile: 310-231-6899
cohenlaw@coheniplaw.com

Respectfully submitted,

/Lawrence S. Cohen/

Lawrence S. Cohen, Esq.
Attorney for Applicant
Date: May 30, 2007